

Falls Church, Virginia 20530

---

File: D2012-076

Date: NOV 13 2014

In re: HENRY H. HOWE, ATTORNEY

IN PRACTITIONER DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS

FINAL ORDER OF DISCIPLINE

ON BEHALF OF EOIR: Jennifer J. Barnes, Disciplinary Counsel

ON BEHALF OF DHS: Diane H. Kier  
Associate Legal Advisor

The respondent will be suspended from practice before the Board of Immigration Appeals (the "Board"), the Immigration Courts, and the Department of Homeland Security (the "DHS") for six months and 1 day.

In an order dated January 31, 2014, the Supreme Court of North Dakota immediately suspended the respondent from the practice of law in North Dakota until further order of the court. Consequently, on April 4, 2014, the Disciplinary Counsel for the Executive Office for Immigration Review petitioned for the respondent's immediate suspension from practice before the Board and the Immigration Courts. The DHS then asked that the respondent be similarly suspended from practice before that agency. We granted the petition on April 23, 2014.

On March 11, 2014, the Supreme Court of North Dakota issued a final order suspending the respondent from the practice of law for six months and 1 day, effective January 31, 2014. The EOIR Disciplinary Counsel then filed an Amended Notice of Intent to Discipline on October 3, 2014. The DHS subsequently requested that any discipline imposed to restrict the respondent's practice before the Board and the Immigration Courts be extended to restrict the respondent's practice before the DHS.

The respondent was required to file a timely answer to the allegations contained in the Amended Notice of Intent to Discipline but has failed to do so. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.105 (2013). The respondent's failure to file a response within the time period prescribed in the Notice constitutes an admission of the allegations therein, and the respondent is now precluded from requesting a hearing on the matter. 8 C.F.R. § 1003.105 (2013).

The Notice proposes that the respondent be suspended from practicing before the Board and the Immigration Courts for 6 months and 1 day. Because the respondent has failed to file an answer, the regulations direct the Board to adopt the proposed sanction contained in the Notice, unless there are considerations that compel us to digress from that proposal. 8 C.F.R. § 1003.105 (2013).

The proposed sanction is appropriate in light of the respondent's suspension from the practice of law by the Supreme Court of North Dakota. Because the respondent is currently


under our April 23, 2014, order of suspension, we will deem the respondent's suspension to have commenced on that date.

ORDER: The Board hereby suspends the respondent from practice before the Board, the Immigration Courts, and the DHS for 6 months and 1 day.

FURTHER ORDER: The respondent is instructed to maintain compliance with the directives set forth in our prior order. The respondent is also instructed to notify the Board of any further disciplinary action against him.

FURTHER ORDER: The respondent may petition this Board for reinstatement to practice before the Board, Immigration Courts, and DHS under 8 C.F.R. § 1003.107 (2013).

FURTHER ORDER: As the Board earlier imposed an immediate suspension order in this case, today's order of the Board becomes effective immediately. 8 C.F.R. § 1003.105(d)(2) (2013).

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
FOR THE BOARD